```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2
                         WESTERN DIVISION
3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, - Docket No. 3:15-cr-358-3
4
5
       Plaintiff,
                                   Toledo, Ohio
                                   January 21, 2016
6
                                   Detention Hearing
           V.
7
    ASIF AHMED SALIM,
8
       Defendant.
9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING
10
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACK ZOUHARY
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    For the Plaintiffs: United States Attorneys' Office
13
                          By: Christos N. Georgalis
                          Suite 400
14
                          801 Superior Avenue, W
                          Cleveland, OH 44113
15
                          (216) 622-3859
    For the Defendant:
16
                          Linda G. Moreno
                          P.O. Box 10985
17
                          Tampa, FL 33679
                          (813) 247-7454
18
    Court Reporter:
                          Tracy L. McGurk, RMR, CRR
19
                          1716 Spielbusch Avenue
                          Toledo, Ohio 43604
20
                          (419) 213-5520
21
22
23
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
24
    transcript produced by notereading.
25
```

(Commenced at 2:46 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

00:00:08

00:00:14

00:00:17

00:00:25

00:00:27

00:00:27

00:00:30

00:00:33

00:00:36

00:00:40

00:00:44

00:00:46

00:00:49

00:00:52

00:00:55

00:00:59

00:01:02

00:01:05

00:01:09

00:01:11

00:01:15

00:01:25

00:01:31

THE COURT: We're here on case 15-CR-358-03,
United States versus Asif Ahmed Salim. The Defendant is
present in court along with his counsel, Linda Moreno.
And on behalf of the Government we have AUSA Christos
Georgalis. And with him are Agents Laura Lebo and Sean
Pieja.

The Defendant is charged in an indictment filed in September of last year in three counts: Count 1, Conspiracy to Provide Material Support and Resources to Terrorists; Count 2, Providing Material Support and Resources to Terrorists; and Count 4, Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice.

The Defendant was arraigned in November. We had a pretrial conference in December. And the Defendant has filed a motion for release, which brings us together today. That was filed in January; it is reflected on our docket as document number 34.

The Government has opposed in a filing reflected in document number 35.

The Court has also been provided and counsel have been provided with Pretrial Services reports. We have two reports; a report from November 17-18 of 2015, and then we have one from January 16. The latter report is from our Pretrial Services Department here,

1 specifically Mark Miller, who is also present in court. 00:01:36 The earlier reports were from Virginia, and those have 00:01:40 2 been shared, as I indicated, with everyone as well. 00:01:45 3 00:01:50 The Court has had an opportunity to review 4 the motion, the opposition, the reports from Pretrial 00:01:52 5 Services. And I'll turn the floor over now to Defense 00:01:57 Counsel who may indicate to the Court whether it wishes 00:02:02 7 to offer any testimony, exhibits, or argument. 8 00:02:06 00:02:09 MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor. 00:02:12 10 appreciate it. Your Honor, I'm going to be proffering on behalf of Mr. Salim with respect to my motion for 00:02:17 11 00:02:21 12 release. I do have a few family members that I do wish 00:02:25 13 to introduce to the Court, but I will be proffering what 00:02:28 14 they have to say. And if the Court has any questions for them, because of the children and the family 00:02:32 15 00:02:35 16 situation, they're in the jury room, and I propose to 00:02:38 17 bring them out one at a time very briefly. 18 Before I do that, however, just with respect 00:02:42 to the motion and the response to the motion, it seems 00:02:44 19 00:02:53 20 that what we have proposed to this Court is as close to 00:02:58 21 a guarantee as possible with respect to the bail conditions that we have proposed. 00:03:02 22 00:03:06 23 Not to repeat too much, I think the Court

knows that there are two properties that are to be

pledged that, given whatever appraisal values, market

00:03:08

00:03:11

24

25

1 values add up to maybe \$475,000. Both of those 00:03:16 properties are located in Gwinnett County in Georgia. 00:03:22 2 I have been in contact with Mr. Miller of 00:03:29 3 00:03:31 Pretrial Services, and we have discussed the issue of 4 00:03:34 5 custodians. Present today -- you will meet them, Your Honor -- is Mr. Salim's mother-in-law, and -- Mr. 00:03:39 7 Salim's mother-in-law, Mr. Salim's wife, and Mr. Salim's 00:03:46 brother-in-law, and Mr. Salim's mother. Mr. Salim's 00:03:51 8 00:03:56 9 mother, who you will meet very briefly, Shakila Salim, 10 00:04:01 she is the custodian for the co-defendant in this case, Sultane Salim. Sultane was released by the magistrate 00:04:05 11 00:04:11 12 several weeks ago, I believe seven or eight weeks ago in 00:04:15 13 this matter. Mr. Salim's mother-in-law, Nikhat 00:04:18 14 Nizamuddin, who you will meet, is posting the family 00:04:24 15 00:04:27 16 property. A word about family property. And I know I'm 00:04:34 17 going about this in probably a different way, but I'm giving Your Honor the overview first just very briefly. 00:04:37 18 The family property, which I would argue to the Court 00:04:40 19 20 00:04:44 has a component of a moral suasion to it in that this is 00:04:49 21 the family home that was built by Mr. Salim's in-laws about 23 years ago. This is the home that his wife, 00:04:55 22 23 Farah, grew up in and that his sister-in-law, Sabrina, 00:05:01 00:05:06 24 grew up in.

As the Court knows, in my paperwork I have

00:05:07

2.5

```
1
            also offered Sabrina Nizamuddin as a character reference
00:05:11
            and as a supportive family member for Mr. Salim. She is
00:05:16
        2
            a current assistant district attorney also in Gwinnett
00:05:20
        3
00:05:24
            County, Georgia. The family is very, very close.
        4
                         I think that the conditions with respect to
00:05:31
        5
00:05:33
            the properties: the GPS monitoring; the strict
        6
        7
            electronic supervision; the surrender of passports,
00:05:37
            which the family is willing to do today. His wife Farah
        8
00:05:41
            has brought her passport along with along with four
00:05:48
        9
00:05:52
       10
            passports of the four children. They will surrender
            those passports today. Mr. Salim himself has no
00:05:55
       11
00:05:59
       12
            passport. I feel confident in saying it was seized and
00:06:04
       13
            in the custody of the Government. I also believe that
            that passport was expired. He has no other passport, he
00:06:08
       14
            has no other travel documents.
00:06:12
       15
00:06:14
       16
                         THE COURT: Let me interrupt you. Can you
00:06:16
       17
            comment, is his passport in the custody of the
       18
            Government? Do you know?
00:06:19
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Judge, the agent told me he
00:06:25
       19
00:06:27
       20
            doesn't know for certain, but he's pretty confident it
00:06:39
       21
            is in Government custody.
       22
00:06:41
                         THE COURT: Do you know if it's expired or
00:06:43
       23
            not?
00:06:43
       24
                        MR. GEORGALIS: No, we don't know that,
00:06:45
       2.5
            Judge. We will confirm that today.
```

```
1
                         MS. MORENO: Let me call his wife, Farah
00:06:49
00:07:01
        2
            Nizamuddin, into the courtroom, if I may. Excuse me,
            Your Honor.
00:07:08
        3
                         (Farah Nizamuddin enters the courtroom.)
00:07:27
        4
                         MS. MORENO: Your Honor, I wanted to
00:07:37
        5
00:07:40
            introduces to the courtroom Asif Salim's wife, Farah
        6
            Nizamuddin.
        7
00:07:44
        8
                         THE WITNESS: How are you.
00:07:46
                         MS. MORENO: I would proffer to the Court
00:07:47
        9
       10
            they've been married ten years. They have four
00:07:48
            children. It is her family home that is being offered
00:07:51
       11
00:07:53
       12
            as a property for security in this matter. I would tell
            the Court that she is -- she's an American citizen born
00:07:57
       13
            and raised in Georgia. She has a degree in early
00:08:02
       14
            childhood education. As soon as Mr. Salim was arrested
00:08:07
       15
00:08:13
       16
            in the UAE, Farah took the children and immediately
            moved back. I would proffer that they have no home in
00:08:19
       17
       18
            the UAE, no bank accounts, no property, no utility
00:08:22
       19
            accounts. The Visa has been cancelled. It turns out
00:08:30
00:08:36
       20
            that she has brought today to the Court -- and I just
00:08:42
       21
            received it really a few minutes ago -- documents that
            reflect his employment when he was in the UAE for the
00:08:47
       22
       23
            four years that they were there. And I haven't shown
00:08:54
00:08:57
       24
            this to counsel yet because I literally just got them.
            But I would also offer this as an exhibit if the Court
00:09:01
       2.5
```

```
1
            is willing. I will describe these documents as showing
00:09:04
            that he was employed from 2011 for a year at the
00:09:10
        2
            International School of Creative Science, and then for
00:09:18
        3
00:09:21
            the following three years he was employed at the GISTEC
        4
            Leveraging GIS & IT Synergy. And these documents
00:09:28
        5
            reflect each one of those years, employment letters, et
00:09:34
        6
        7
00:09:40
            cetera.
                         THE COURT: I think we should have copies
        8
00:09:40
                    Share it with the Government and provide it to
00:09:42
        9
            made.
00:09:45
       10
            our deputy clerk, please.
                         MS. MORENO: I would also indicate to the
00:09:53
       11
00:09:56
       12
            Court on behalf of Farah that they moved back to the
00:10:01
       13
            family home in Atlanta, which is where we requested Asif
00:10:06
       14
            to be supervised. And the children are going to be
            starting school. Of course, they have their
00:10:09
       15
00:10:14
       16
            grandparents there. The community ties issue are the
00:10:18
       17
            ties with the family.
       18
                         I think, that's probably all I have.
00:10:25
            Court wishes to ask -- if the Court wishes to ask Mrs.
       19
00:10:28
00:10:37
       20
            Nizamuddin any questions -- sorry, Your Honor.
                         THE COURT: First of all, I'll give the
00:10:40
       21
00:10:42
       22
            Government the opportunity.
00:10:43
       23
                         Do you wish me to place her under oath, and
00:10:45
       24
            do you wish to ask any questions?
00:10:48
       2.5
                         MR. GEORGALIS: No, Judge, we're not going
```

```
1
            to ask that she be placed under oath. In lieu of that,
00:10:50
            the Government has discussed with Ms. Moreno in chambers
00:10:53
        2
            a stipulation with this witness's knowledge.
00:10:58
        3
00:11:00
                         Ms. Moreno, please correct me.
        4
00:11:02
        5
                         I think what we agreed is with respect to
            the knowledge of the indictment and the charges in this
00:11:04
        6
        7
            case, that the custodian's knowledge of the indictment
00:11:06
            is limited to knowing that it's a conspiracy case
        8
00:11:12
            involving terrorism and that it's serious and nothing
00:11:15
        9
00:11:18
       10
            further.
                         MS. MORENO: We would so stipulate, Your
00:11:20
       11
00:11:22
       12
            Honor.
00:11:22
       13
                         THE COURT: Very good.
00:11:26
       14
                         MS. MORENO: If I may just have a moment
00:11:28
       15
            please, Judge.
00:11:34
       16
                         That's all in terms of what I would proffer
            on behalf of Mrs. Nizamuddin.
00:11:37
       17
                         THE COURT: I may have questions, but I want
00:11:39
       18
            to see the documents first. So you may excuse her, and
00:11:41
       19
       20
00:11:44
            let's just keep going.
00:11:47
       21
                         THE WITNESS:
                                        Thank you.
00:11:54
       22
                         THE COURT: While we're at a break, our
       23
            reporter may have some questions about spellings.
00:12:01
00:12:07
       24
                         MS. MORENO: Coming in, Your Honor, is going
00:12:09
       2.5
            to be Nikhat, N-i-k-h-a-t, Nizamuddin,
```

```
1
            N-i-z-a-m-u-d-d-i-n.
00:12:18
                         Your Honor, this is Mrs. Nizamuddin.
00:12:35
        2
            would proffer to the Court on her behalf that she is
00:12:38
        3
00:12:43
            Asif Salim's mother-in-law, that she has known Asif for
        4
            over ten years, that she is the owner of the property
00:12:47
            family home -- she and her husband are the owners of the
00:12:52
        7
            family home that they built some 23 or 24 years ago.
00:12:56
        8
            And that this is -- she's also Farah's mother, and she's
00:13:01
            also Sabrina's mother, Sabrina being the ADA that I
00:13:05
       10
00:13:09
            previously referenced. Mrs. Nizamuddin is an American
            citizen. I have discussed with her what her
00:13:15
       11
00:13:18
       12
            responsibilities would be with respect to being a
00:13:21
       13
            custodian, the consequences if Asif did not comply with
            all the conditions that hopefully will be set, that she
00:13:26
       14
            could lose her family home, the gave consequences that
00:13:30
       15
00:13:35
       16
            would ensue. She has told me repeatedly she completely
00:13:40
       17
            understands that and she is very willing to move forward
       18
            in that regard. There is a message I am to deliver on
00:13:44
       19
            behalf of her husband, who is just -- couldn't be here.
00:13:51
00:13:55
       20
            He is in ill health, and he wanted the Court -- wanted
00:14:00
       21
            me to tell the Court that he completely supports this
            action. He is also --
00:14:02
       22
00:14:11
       23
                         (Discussion had off the record.)
00:14:15
       24
                         MS. MORENO: Sorry, Your Honor. He is the
00:14:17
       25
            co-owner of the property, and he's also an American
```

```
1
            citizen, retired from the Department of Agriculture for
00:14:22
            the State of Georgia.
00:14:26
        2
00:14:28
        3
                         THE COURT: Does the Government wish to
00:14:30
            inquire of this witness under oath?
        4
                         MR. GEORGALIS: No, Judge. Similar to the
00:14:32
        5
00:14:34
            first custodian, the parties have discussed a
        7
            stipulation in this case.
00:14:37
                         And again, Ms. Moreno, please correct me if
        8
00:14:38
00:14:41
        9
            I'm wrong.
       10
00:14:41
                         The parties have discussed what the
            Defendant's mother-in-law knows about the charges in
00:14:44
       11
00:14:46
       12
            this case, and we're prepared to stipulate that she
00:14:50
       13
            knows nothing of the charges in this case, doesn't know
            what the case is about, and her knowledge is extremely
00:14:53
       14
            limited in that regard.
00:14:56
       15
00:14:58
       16
                         MS. MORENO: So stipulated, Your Honor.
00:15:00
       17
                         THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
                         (Mrs. Nizamuddin exits the courtroom.)
       18
00:15:13
       19
                         THE COURT: Who do we have next?
00:15:30
00:15:33
       20
                         MS. MORENO: We have Shakila Salim, who is
       21
            Asif's mother, wanting to be introduced to the Court, is
00:15:37
            here with the family. She is the custodian for Sultane
00:15:42
       22
       23
            Salim, the co-defendant in this case.
00:15:47
00:16:00
       24
                         Thank you, Your Honor. The Court can hear
00:16:03
       2.5
            me without the microphone? She wanted to be introduced
```

```
1
            to the Court. If the Court had an issue with respect to
00:16:08
            the supervision being in the state of Georgia, Mrs.
00:16:13
        2
00:16:19
        3
            Salim has told me that she would gladly offer her home,
00:16:23
            which I know there has already been a report done on and
        4
            she has been interviewed with respect to Sultane, the
00:16:26
00:16:30
            co-defendant, also her son in this case, that she would
        7
            be willing to act as a custodian and have Asif be
00:16:33
            supervised in Ohio. I am just bringing this to the
00:16:38
        8
            Court's attention for Your Honor's consideration.
00:16:42
        9
       10
00:16:45
            she has offered to do that, Your Honor.
                         THE COURT:
                                      Thank you. Does the Government
00:16:48
       11
00:16:51
       12
            wish to cross-examine this -- or examine this witness
00:16:54
       13
            under oath?
00:16:54
       14
                         MR. GEORGALIS: May I have a minute to speak
00:16:57
       15
            with Ms. Moreno, Judge?
00:17:00
       16
                         THE COURT: You may.
                         (Discussion had off the record between
00:17:02
       17
       18
            counsel.)
00:17:05
       19
                         MR. GEORGALIS: No, Judge. No need to place
00:17:06
00:17:08
       20
            this witness under oath. But in lieu of that, the
            Government and Ms. Moreno have discussed another
00:17:10
       21
            stipulation that would be similar to the stipulation for
00:17:13
       22
00:17:16
       23
            the Defendant's mother-in-law, that being that this
00:17:18
       24
            custodian also has no knowledge of the case itself, has
00:17:21
       2.5
            not read the indictment and is unaware of the charges
```

1 alleged against her son. 00:17:24 MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor. 00:17:29 2 00:17:34 3 THE COURT: Do you so stipulate or agree 00:17:37 with the stipulation? 4 5 MS. MORENO: So stipulated. 00:17:37 00:17:39 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 7 MS. MORENO: Judge, the last person I wanted 00:17:40 to introduce to the Court is James Smith. James Smith 00:17:41 8 is a current criminal investigator for the Assistant 00:17:46 9 10 00:17:53 District Attorney's Office in Georgia, Gwinnett County. Your Honor, I met James today, although I'd 00:18:15 11 00:18:19 12 heard a lot about him. And I thought it was important 00:18:21 13 to introduce James to this Court. Again, he is the husband of Sabrina, so James is Asif's brother-in-law. 00:18:26 14 And I wanted to inform the Court that if there were 00:18:33 15 any -- if the Court had any issues with respect to 00:18:36 16 00:18:39 17 supervision of Asif, that James has a very impressive 00:18:47 18 pedigree in terms of his career in the police department 19 of Gwinnett County, former homicide detective, and he 00:18:52 00:18:58 20 is, as I said, the current investigator for the DA's Office and that he has offered to also be a custodian 00:19:02 21 for Asif, to personally drive and make sure he makes any 00:19:07 22 00:19:11 23 court appearance that this Court orders for him to make, 00:19:14 24 that he will do anything that he can to insure that Asif 00:19:20 2.5 complies with all the conditions of confinement. And it

```
1
            is also his family home that is the second property that
00:19:25
            is being offered in this case for -- as collateral
00:19:28
        2
00:19:37
        3
            surety, Your Honor.
00:19:39
                         Does the Court have any questions for Mr.
        4
            Smith?
00:19:40
        5
00:19:42
                         THE COURT: Mr. Smith, can you tell me what
        6
        7
            your current occupation is and who your current employer
00:19:45
        8
            is?
00:19:48
                         MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. I'm currently
00:19:49
00:19:51
       10
            employed as a DA investigator in Gwinnett County,
            Georgia. I'm currently employed as a DA investigator
00:19:55
       11
00:20:10
       12
            with Gwinnett County DA's office. My employer is the
00:20:15
       13
            District Attorney of Gwinnett County, Georgia.
00:20:19
       14
                         THE COURT: What kind of crimes do you
            investigate?
00:20:21
       15
00:20:22
       16
                         MR. SMITH: Major crimes: homicide, child
00:20:26
       17
            molestation, rape, anything that's a felony.
       18
                         THE COURT: Have you reviewed the indictment
00:20:28
       19
            in this case against the Defendant?
00:20:29
                         THE WITNESS: I have not. I've never seen
00:20:30
       20
00:20:32
       21
            it.
       22
00:20:32
                         THE COURT: So you do not have any knowledge
00:20:35
       23
            with respect to the allegations, how serious they may or
00:20:42
       24
            may not be, that sort of thing; is that fair to say?
00:20:45
       2.5
                         THE WITNESS: I have no idea what the
```

```
allegations are. I'm aware of generally what the
        1
00:20:46
            allegations are. I've not reviewed the indictment.
00:20:48
        2
                                                                     I'm
            not aware of the facts of the case. Basically I'm
00:20:52
        3
00:20:54
            here -- to be honest, there's --
        4
                         THE COURT: It's a good time to be honest
00:20:58
        5
00:21:00
            while standing in the well of a federal courtroom.
        6
        7
                         THE WITNESS: I've never met Asif before.
00:21:05
            This is the first time I've ever seen him. There's
00:21:07
        8
            really no emotional connection between Asif and I.
00:21:10
        9
00:21:12
       10
            know you could look at that one way or the other.
            However, I'm willing to do whatever the Court asks to
00:21:15
       11
00:21:18
       12
            make sure he shows up for all of his court appearances.
00:21:20
       13
            Like the attorney said, I will bring him here myself if
00:21:23
       14
            I have to. If they want me to wake up at 2:00 in the
            morning and drive to the house, I'll do that. But as
00:21:26
       15
00:21:28
       16
            far as the facts of the case, no, I have no idea as far
            as what all the details are involved in that.
00:21:31
       17
00:21:34
       18
                         THE COURT: I take it since you've never met
       19
            him before -- well, I'm not sure of the answer to this
00:21:36
            question, so let me ask you. During the four years that
00:21:40
       20
00:21:42
       21
            he was in the United Arab Emirates, did you have any
       22
            contact with him or his wife?
00:21:47
00:21:48
       23
                         THE WITNESS: After I married my wife.
00:21:51
       24
                         THE COURT: Which was when?
00:21:52
       2.5
                         THE WITNESS: 2012, July 13, 2012.
```

```
THE COURT: I take it he wasn't present for
00:21:56
        1
00:21:57
            that wedding?
        2
00:21:58
        3
                         THE WITNESS: No. We exchanged two to three
00:22:01
            emails, just congratulations. I said thank you. And
        4
            that was it.
00:22:04
        5
00:22:05
                         THE COURT: So you have no knowledge of what
        6
        7
            he was doing in the United Arab Emirates, what was
00:22:09
            happening there? You had no contact with him?
00:22:14
        8
                         THE WITNESS: No. My understanding was he
00:22:16
        9
00:22:18
       10
            had a job there and he was working, and that's where his
            family was.
00:22:21
       11
00:22:21
       12
                         THE COURT: Neither you nor your wife
            visited him or his family while they were there?
00:22:24
       13
00:22:28
       14
                         THE WITNESS: I never have. My wife went to
            India, but I don't know that they stopped in the UAE; I
00:22:30
       15
00:22:34
       16
            don't know what they did in India -- to get stuff for
00:22:35
       17
            our wedding. But I've never been.
                         THE COURT: I don't know if the Government
00:22:37
       18
            has any questions.
00:22:38
       19
00:22:39
       20
                         Do you have any questions, counsel?
00:22:41
       21
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Maybe just a few, Judge.
00:22:44
       22
                         THE COURT: You know what, I'm going to do
       23
            this a little in reverse. I'm going to have you take
00:22:45
00:22:48
       24
            the stand, if you don't mind, Mr. Smith. Raise your
00:22:51
       2.5
            right hand.
```

```
Do you swear that the testimony you have
00:22:53
        1
            just given to me and will give will be truth, the whole
00:22:59
        2
            truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
00:23:03
        3
00:23:05
                         THE WITNESS: I swear.
        4
                         THE COURT: I don't know how long he's going
00:23:07
        5
            to be, so I thought I might as well make you comfortable
00:23:08
        6
            and give you a seat.
00:23:12
        7
00:23:13
        8
                         THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
00:23:15
        9
                          JAMES SMITH, CROSS-EXAMINATION
00:23:15
       10
            BY MR. GEORGALIS:
00:23:16
       11
                   Thank you, Judge. Mr. Smith, my name is Chris
00:23:16
       12
               Q.
00:23:19
       13
            Georgalis. I'm the prosecutor in this case. I just had
00:23:23
       14
            a couple questions. One thing that struck me is you had
            said if the Court required you to drive the Defendant to
00:23:27
       15
00:23:30
       16
            Ohio, to Toledo for purposes of hearings, that you'd do
00:23:34
       17
            that. What makes you think that you would be required
            to do so by the Court?
00:23:37
       18
               A. Nothing. It's just something I'm willing to do.
00:23:39
       19
       20
00:23:42
            I'll do whatever, whatever they want me to do as far as
       21
            if he's going to be transferred to Atlanta and there's
00:23:46
            any problems with communication or if they feel better
00:23:49
       23
            with me being there to put eyes on him and/or
00:23:54
00:23:58
       24
            transportation becomes an issue. I'm just offering
00:24:02
       2.5
            that. I have no idea if they would require me to do
```

1 that or not. 00:24:04 Q. Do you understand that it's the Defendant's 00:24:05 2 00:24:07 obligation to appear for the hearings. It's not your 00:24:10 obligation. There won't be -- I imagine there won't be 4 a court order saying: Mr. James Smith, you must bring 00:24:11 00:24:15 Asif Salim to Toledo on X date. A. I understand that. 7 00:24:18 Q. So when you said if they ask me or require me to, 00:24:20 8 what did you mean by that? 00:24:22 9 00:24:24 10 A. Well, we pledged our house up for bond purposes. I guess what I'm saying is there's no way that he is not 00:24:27 11 00:24:30 12 going to be here. If I have to bring him, he's going to come. I have no reason to believe that he would not 00:24:32 13 come. I know it's his obligation, and he's going to do 00:24:34 14 it. I have no doubt about that. 00:24:38 15 00:24:42 16 Q. You, yourself, said that you would physically drive him from Atlanta to Toledo for each and every one 00:24:44 17 of his hearing and drive him back from Toledo to 00:24:48 18 Atlanta? 00:24:51 19 00:24:51 20 A. If that's what it took. 00:24:54 21 Q. Not if that's what it took, but you would be willing to do that? 00:24:57 22 23 A. If that's -- yes. 00:24:58 00:24:59 24 Q. For a case that could go on for many months,

several years, you'd take two or three days from work to

00:25:02

25

```
drive Mr. Salim to Toledo?
        1
00:25:05
               A. Until I ran out of leave time. And then if I ran
00:25:07
        2
            out of leave time, I'd ask for unpaid leave if I had to.
00:25:10
        3
00:25:13
              Q. Okay. One moment.
        4
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Nothing further, Judge.
00:25:25
        5
00:25:27
            Thank you.
        6
        7
                        MS. MORENO: Your Honor, I did have a
00:25:27
            question.
        8
00:25:28
00:25:29
        9
                         THE COURT: Sure.
00:25:30
       10
                         JAMES SMITH, REDIRECT EXAMINATION
00:25:30
       11
           BY MS. MORENO:
00:25:31
       12
00:25:31
       13
               Q. How close is your home with Sabrina to the family
            home?
00:25:34
       14
              A. Depending on traffic, between 20 minutes to 30
00:25:34
       15
00:25:38
       16
            minutes.
00:25:39
       17
               Q. How many miles away would that be, would you say?
                    It's not very far mileage wise, maybe ten, 12
00:25:41
       18
               Α.
            miles.
00:25:45
       19
       20
              Q. And do you think that they're a close family,
00:25:46
00:25:49
            Sabrina and Farah and their mother and father?
       21
               A. Absolutely, very close.
00:25:53
       23
               Q. And do you visit each other often?
00:25:54
00:25:56
      24
               A. She goes over there every weekend, and I go over
      25
00:26:00
            there probably -- approximately every other weekend.
```

```
1
                         MS. MORENO: I have nothing further, Your
00:26:03
00:26:06
        2
            Honor.
00:26:06
        3
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Nothing further, Judge.
00:26:07
            Thank you.
        4
                         THE COURT: Appreciate it. You may step
00:26:08
        5
00:26:09
        6
            down.
        7
                         THE WITNESS: Thank you.
00:26:10
                         MS. MORENO: One moment, Your Honor.
00:26:15
        8
00:26:24
        9
            think with respect to my burden of production, Your
00:26:27
       10
            Honor, I would rest on my papers and rest on my proffer
            and the testimony received so far.
00:26:31
       11
00:26:35
       12
                         THE COURT: Does the Government have any
00:26:36
       13
            questions about these documents that we were just
            provided?
00:26:39
       14
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Judge, in the brief review
00:26:56
       15
00:26:58
       16
            we've had of this by the FBI, it's our belief that these
00:27:02
       17
            documents are what they purport to be; they are what Ms.
       18
            Moreno described them to be; they are evidence of some
00:27:06
       19
            employment in the UAE during the timeframe Ms. Moreno
00:27:09
00:27:12
       20
            had suggested.
00:27:15
       21
                         MS. MORENO: Thank you, counsel.
       22
00:27:25
                         THE COURT: Perhaps counsel or someone can
00:27:27
       23
            tell me what a GIS instructor/technical support position
00:27:34
       24
            is.
                         The first document, for the record -- we
00:27:38
       2.5
```

```
don't have these marked, but there is an employment
        1
00:27:42
            offer dated September 16, 2012.
00:27:44
        2
00:27:48
        3
                         That's followed by in mostly Arabic a
            document captioned "Professional License." I'm not sure
00:27:54
        4
            what that license is.
00:28:01
        5
                         Followed by a document dated July 10, 2013,
00:28:04
        6
        7
            an employment memo to the Defendant, a position of
00:28:11
            Professional Services Analyst. Again, I don't know what
00:28:15
        8
            that is or means. With a subject regarding "Upgrade to
00:28:17
        9
00:28:22
       10
            family status," which appears to allow, perhaps, travel
            for family members, I'm not sure.
00:28:31
       11
00:28:34
       12
                         Next document. Same date; to the Defendant;
00:28:38
       13
            same position; Subject: Incentives. Indicating the
            company is giving him an incentive. I'm not sure what
00:28:44
       14
            currency that is.
00:28:50
       15
                         Next, April 14, 2013, memo to the Defendant.
00:28:53
       16
00:28:59
       17
            Same position. Subject: Increment, listing salary and
       18
00:29:05
            allowances.
                         All these documents, for the record, are
00:29:08
       19
       20
00:29:10
            from GISTEC; that's at the top of each of these
       21
            documents, except for the second document I indicated,
00:29:20
            which was the professional license. That says,
00:29:26
       22
       23
            "Government of Sharjah," S-h-a-r-j-a-h, "Economic
00:29:28
00:29:34
       24
            Development Department."
00:29:36
       2.5
                         Continuing, the next document, September 30,
```

```
1
            2015, another employment memo to the Defendant saying:
00:29:39
            Position: Professional Services Manager. Subject:
00:29:46
        2
00:29:50
        3
            Increment. And again showing salary and allowances.
00:29:54
                        Next document, Employment Memorandum, again,
        4
            to the Defendant. Position: Professional Services
00:29:58
        5
00:30:03
                      Subject: Allowance for customer visits.
        6
        7
            Appearing to allow for at least six customers per month.
00:30:10
                         Next, a different heading on the next two
00:30:16
        8
                        International School of Creative Science.
00:30:21
        9
            documents:
            First one dated May 18, 2011, offering a position; a
00:30:25
       10
            commencement date of August, 2011; reporting to Phase
00:30:34
       11
00:30:40
       12
            Director, P-h-a-s-e, the job title being teacher. And
00:30:44
       13
            it has several paragraphs indicating salary, probation,
            benefits, relocation, mobility, additional employment,
00:30:48
       14
            and confidentiality. There are no signatures on this
00:30:52
       15
            page. It is addressed to Defendant at the Overland
00:30:56
       16
00:30:59
       17
            Park, Kansas address.
       18
                         Final document -- I'm sorry, second to final
00:31:02
       19
            document. This is again from the School of Creative
00:31:05
00:31:08
       20
            Science dated June 28, 2012, to whom it may concern,
00:31:14
       21
            certifying the Defendant worked at that School of
       22
            Creative Science -- not sure where it is -- from
00:31:17
       23
            September 4, 2011 until June 28, 2012. Reflecting the
00:31:21
00:31:35
       24
            author being the principal, Walid Ramadan,
00:31:43
       2.5
            R-a-m-a-d-a-n. There is a stamp for the International
```

0:31:46 1 School of Creative Science. And there may be a
0:31:49 2 signature from that stamp also on that page.
0:31:54 3 The final document I have, I think is out of

order. I think it is actually the second page to the May 18, 2011 letter to the Defendant at the Overland Park, Kansas address. It seems to fit because there are additional paragraphs, and there is a signature line at the very end with what may be the Defendant's signature indicating he has read, understood, and agrees to the terms of that position.

I hope I have accurately summarized the documents that we've been given, that way the record can reflect them. To the extent defense counsel or Defendant can provide additional information helping to explain these, they may do so, or not.

MS. MORENO: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to the currency, it's dirhams, the AED, those are dirhams.

With respect to the two documents, I just wanted it to be clear, that are entitled -- one is entitled "Professional License," and the other is entitled "Professional Register Certificate" from the Government of Sharjah. These are licenses -- if the Court can look down, you see a category called "trade name." So these are not Mr. Salim's professional

00:31:46 00:31:49 00:31:54 00:31:56 4 00:32:02 00:32:09 7 00:32:14 00:32:17 8 00:32:21 9 00:32:24 10 00:32:26 11 00:32:28 12 00:32:32 13 00:32:35 14 00:32:38 15 00:32:40 16 00:32:41 17 18 00:32:46 19 00:32:47 20 00:32:49 00:32:52 21 00:32:55 22 23 00:32:59 00:33:04 24

00:33:07

2.5

```
1
            license or certificate. This is the certificate and
00:33:12
            license for the company, Gulf Survey and Engineering
00:33:15
        2
            Services, which is also Isotec, which was his employer.
00:33:20
        3
00:33:25
            I just wanted that -- I didn't want the Court to think
        4
            that we were proffering this as his license because
00:33:28
            we're not. And also, Your Honor, obviously, as the
00:33:31
        7
            Court stated, the documents are somewhat out of order.
00:33:34
                         THE COURT: And the document you just
00:33:37
        8
            referenced is front and back, I should have mentioned.
00:33:39
        9
            The front is "Professional License" at the top, and the
00:33:41
       10
            back is "Professional Register Certificate."
00:33:44
       11
00:33:48
       12
                         MS. MORENO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
00:33:52
       13
            I would submit on that.
                         THE COURT: Does the Government have any
00:34:05
       14
            questions about these documents?
00:34:07
       15
00:34:10
       16
                         MR. GEORGALIS: No, no questions, Judge.
00:34:12
       17
            Thank you.
       18
                         THE COURT: Any further testimony or
00:34:18
            documents from the Defendant or proffers?
00:34:23
       19
00:34:29
       20
                         MS. MORENO: Not unless the Court has an
00:34:31
       21
            area of concern or questions, I would submit.
       22
                         THE COURT: Does the Government have any
00:34:39
       23
            evidence or documents or testimony they wish to offer?
00:34:41
00:34:45
      24
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Judge, just in the form of
00:34:46
      25
            various proffers and various documents the Government
```

```
1
            would proffer the PSR as mentioned by the Court.
00:34:49
            proffer the indictment for the Court's consideration,
00:34:53
        2
            the stipulations that were discussed here today, as well
00:34:55
        3
            as the Government's brief.
00:35:05
        4
                         THE COURT: Then let me offer this for
00:35:13
        5
00:35:15
        6
            counsel to comment on. Based on the briefing you
            presented to me and my understanding of the law, the
        7
00:35:19
00:35:22
            Defendant faces a presumption of detention by statute,
        8
            specifically 18 U.S.C., Section 1342(e)(3). Am I right
00:35:26
        9
00:35:33
       10
            so far?
                         MS. MORENO: Yes.
00:35:35
       11
00:35:37
       12
                         MR. GEORGALIS: I'm sorry. I thought it was
00:35:44
       13
            18 U.S.C. 3142.
                         THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. You paid
00:35:48
       14
            attention. I transposed the first few numbers.
00:35:49
       15
00:35:55
       16
                         We have two counts here, a conspiracy -- I'm
00:35:58
       17
            sorry, Counts 1 and 2 are Conspiracy to Provide Material
       18
            Support to Terrorists and Providing Material Support to
00:36:01
                           These are federal crimes of terrorism
00:36:05
       19
            Terrorists.
00:36:08
       20
            listed under another statute that have maximum
00:36:12
       21
            punishments greater than ten years. We have a grand
       22
            jury indictment which, by itself, establishes probable
00:36:16
00:36:19
       23
            cause to believe the Defendant committed the charged
00:36:21
       24
            crimes. And I would cite for support for that notion
00:36:27
       2.5
            the Sixth Circuit case of Hazime, H-a-z-i-m-e, from
```

```
1
            1985.
00:36:31
                         My next question for counsel is: There have
00:36:34
        2
            been a list of proposed conditions. The Defendant
00:36:36
        3
00:36:40
            argues that they would rebut the presumption. Am I
        4
            right about that?
00:36:43
        5
00:36:44
                         MS. MORENO: Yes, Your Honor.
        6
        7
                         THE COURT: And the Government would
00:36:44
            disagree. And the primary basis for the Government's
00:36:45
        8
            disagreement would be that the Defendant was out of the
00:36:51
        9
00:36:55
       10
            country for some four years in the UAE. Do I have that
            right?
00:37:00
       11
00:37:01
       12
                         MR. GEORGALIS: I'd say that would be the
00:37:03
       13
            primary, Judge.
                         THE COURT: You've also argued the fact that
00:37:04
       14
            the Defendant would not be in the district, that he
00:37:06
       15
00:37:11
       16
            would be in Duluth, Georgia. Frankly, I find that to be
            not a reason in and of itself, perhaps. But I do want
00:37:15
       17
       18
            to focus on the time outside the country for four years
00:37:21
       19
            and ask the Government: With the documents it had been
00:37:26
00:37:31
       20
            presented today, how do you feel about that period of
            time?
00:37:34
       21
                         MR. GEORGALIS: Judge, the Government's
00:37:34
       22
       23
            reaction to the documents that were provided today is
00:37:35
00:37:38
       24
            that these documents actually cut against release of
00:37:41
       2.5
            this Defendant. It shows that Mr. Salim is able to live
```

00:37:45

00:37:51

00:37:55

00:37:59

00:38:02

00:38:06

00:38:12

00:38:16

00:38:18

00:38:22

00:38:26

00:38:29

00:38:35

00:38:37

00:38:41

00:38:44

00:38:48

00:38:52

00:38:53

00:38:55

00:39:03

00:39:05

00:39:08

00:39:12

00:39:15

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

abroad and live a gainful life, to seek and gain 1 employment, to earn a living, in a foreign country. 2 he did so for four years in the UAE, not coming back to 3 the United States at all. That suggests to us that he 4 is a flight risk, that he can go to another country, has 5 the ability, skill set and talent as well as the will to 7 get a job, to be employed, to live elsewhere, and to leave the district and to leave the country. These 8 documents to us suggest that he is a significant flight 9 10 risk, more so than most Defendants that come through many federal courtrooms. This is proof that he can live 11 12 abroad. So that, to us, cuts against his release. 13 THE COURT: Let me ask Defense counsel. Can

THE COURT: Let me ask Defense counsel. Can you comment on that? And also comment on if the Defendant is released, what his plan is. What kind of employment might he seek? Who's going to support his family? What have you to say on any of that along with what the Government just said?

MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor. With respect to living abroad, pardon my south Bronx expression, it's damned if you do and damned if you don't. He could live abroad because he could get there legally; he had a passport; he had a visa. He doesn't have any of those things now. There is no carrier that is going to board someone with zero travel documents

```
1
            with no passport.
00:39:20
00:39:23
        2
                         THE COURT: Someone could get a false
00:39:25
        3
            passport; that does happen.
00:39:27
                         MS. MORENO: This happens in every case.
        4
            That could be the Government's default position in any
00:39:29
        5
            case, Judge. I'm suggesting it's not in this case
00:39:32
            because when you look at the whole, the totality of this
        7
00:39:35
            case, the support and the ties that he has to his family
00:39:38
        8
            and the kind of family that he has, that that militates
00:39:41
       10
00:39:45
            against all of that. And with respect to his plan,
            frankly, he -- yes, he is -- he graduated from Ohio
00:39:49
       11
00:39:57
       12
            State University in geographic information systems,
00:39:59
       13
            which is what he was working on abroad. He could -- I
            failed to mention, I'm so sorry --
00:40:08
       14
                         THE COURT: I'm sorry, what did you fail to
00:40:11
       15
            mention?
00:40:14
       16
00:40:15
       17
                         MS. MORENO: I failed to mention, although
       18
            it was in the Government's papers, but I failed to
00:40:18
       19
            mention that Asif did not contest deportation when he
00:40:21
       20
00:40:27
            was arrested by the ministry of the interior in the UAE.
            So he voluntarily came back to face this case.
00:40:33
       21
       22
00:40:37
                         With respect to a plan, I think that is a
00:40:40
       23
            dynamic ongoing process. I can tell the Court that he
            very much wants to work, and that it would be a matter
00:40:45
       24
00:40:50
       2.5
            of -- because I've just been through this -- of working
```

1 out with the Pretrial Services Office the kind of curfew 00:40:54 that would allow him to work in whatever capacity that 00:41:00 2 00:41:02 3 he could. Right now -- and I made a point of that in my 00:41:06 papers, which I think again militates for release. 4 This is not a man of means. He doesn't have a cache of 00:41:10 00:41:17 money. So right now he is dependent upon his family, 6 7 and that is something that has really never been the 00:41:20 case since he's been married for the last ten years. 00:41:23 8 So there are a number of options for him to explore. 00:41:26 9 10 00:41:30 don't want to speculate to the Court, but I know that he very much wants to get back and help support his family, 00:41:35 11 00:41:39 12 as he's done for the last decade. And this would, of 00:41:43 13 course, be in compliance with Pretrial Services and the kinds of curfews that they do allow for work schedules. 00:41:46 14 THE COURT: So after I consider whether the 00:41:53 15 proposed conditions rebut the presumption, that doesn't 00:41:55 16 00:42:01 17 end the inquiry. The Government argues even if so, the Court must consider whether detention is appropriate, 18 00:42:05 19 addressing the factors under 18 U.S.C., Section 3142(q). 00:42:08 00:42:15 20 And those factors include the nature of the crime 00:42:19 21 charged. And here we're talking about terrorist acts 22 and obstruction of an investigation as well. 00:42:23 23 Government notes in its brief that Anwar Al-Awlaki, a 00:42:27 2010 designated key Al Qaeda leader. The indictment 00:42:33 24 details that co-defendants delivered funds to Yemen in 00:42:38 2.5

July of 2012, \$29,000 total, including \$2,000 provided

00:42:50 2 by this Defendants. The Government also in its memo

00:42:52 3 indicates while that may not seem like a lot of money,

00:42:56 4 placed in context, the Government describes how much

00:43:00 5 harm can be caused by bombs made with as little as

00:43:04 6 \$2,000.

The weight of the evidence is another factor addressing the risk of flight, danger to the community.

And here we have, the Government alleges in its brief, documented support for terrorism, and specifically a terrorist that the indictment establishes probable cause.

I look to the history and characteristics of the Defendant. Thirty-five years old, U.S. citizen, married ten years, four children, no criminal history.

Loose ties to the United States, four years outside the United States since 2011. Proposed to stay with in-laws. This has never been his home before. This would be a new place for him and his family. No employment in the U.S.

Also looking at the nature and seriousness of danger to the community posed by the release. And again, the Government would point to jihad and terrorism as set forth in the indictment.

Taking into account also education and

00:42:50 00:42:52 00:42:56 00:43:00 00:43:04 7 00:43:07 00:43:09 8 00:43:12 9 00:43:16 10 00:43:20 11 00:43:25 12 00:43:25 13 00:43:27 14 00:43:31 15 00:43:35 16 00:43:38 17 18 00:43:45 19 00:43:48 00:43:53 20 00:43:55 21 00:43:57 22

23

24

2.5

00:44:01

00:44:05

00:44:08

1 employment history. We've touched on that with the 00:44:10 documents that have been provided today from August 2011 00:44:14 2 to November 2015 in the UAE. Residing in Overland Park, 00:44:19 3 00:44:26 Kansas from October of '07 to August of 2011; residing 4 in Pompano Beach, Florida from July of '06 to October of 00:44:30 5 I'm not sure what was going on during those time 00:44:34 6 7 periods and those places. 00:44:38 Defense counsel has emphasized the family. 00:44:41 8 Mother, brother, and sister in Cambridge, Ohio; youngest 00:44:45 9 00:44:51 10 sister in Pakistan. The family in Georgia. Apparently the father has been travelling in Pakistan for several 11 12 months, unknown location, unknown occupation. 00:45:05 13 are, as I have it, the factors and some of facts that both sides have highlighted. 00:45:09 14 I take it it is the Government's position 15

that the conditions of release are really not a problem for the Government. I know defense counsel has used the phrase almost guaranteed. While the Government might quibble with that description, the Government really doesn't have a problem with the conditions if there were to be a release, but the Government's position is there shouldn't be a release because of the nature of the alleged crime in this case, and the factors under 3142(q).

Do I have it right?

00:44:55 00:44:57

00:45:11 00:45:13 16 00:45:21 17

18 00:45:24 19 00:45:30 00:45:32 20 00:45:34 21

22 00:45:37 23 00:45:42

00:45:45 24

00:45:47 2.5

1 MR. GEORGALIS: That is correct, Judge. The 00:45:48 Government believes there are no conditions or set of 00:45:49 2 conditions that would insure the safety of the community 00:45:51 3 00:45:54 or that the Defendant will continue to appear as 4 required. 00:45:56 5 00:45:56 THE COURT: And what really bothers you --6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

00:45:58

00:46:01

00:46:06

00:46:09

00:46:13

00:46:17

00:46:20

00:46:25

00:46:30

00:46:33

00:46:36

00:46:39

00:46:43

00:46:47

00:46:51

00:46:54

00:46:57

00:47:01

00:47:05

those are my words -- is the period of time outside this country. And specifically what bothers you about that?

MR. GEORGALIS: Well, Judge, what bothers us about it is the fact that he has been living abroad for four years, that as a U.S. citizen he has not come back to this country, that the only reason that he is here today is to stand trial for federal criminal terrorism charges in Toledo, Ohio. He's not -- he was not brought here to vacation with his family in Georgia. He's here because he was indicted by a federal grand jury on very serious charges. So the only reason he is here is for this case. The fact that he's lived abroad for four years stresses the fact that he has virtually no ties or roots to tie him to this country. He certainly has none tying him to the District, which is why he's proposing to live only in Georgia, not here. So, Judge, the absence of a presence here in this country, the absence of roots here or anything tying into Toledo, those are concerns for us. They suggest that he is a significant

1 flight risk. 00:47:09 And the standard for flight risk is only 00:47:10 2 00:47:13 3 that of preponderance. Having lived abroad, having been 00:47:18 deported to come to this country to stand charges to us 4 suggests that he ought to be detained. 00:47:22 5 00:47:28 THE COURT: I take it if you were in the 6 7 United States during that period of time, you wouldn't 00:47:29 be having as much of a problem? 00:47:35 8 MR. GEORGALIS: It would be a different 00:47:36 10 story. It would be more akin to the situation with his 00:47:38 brother, Sultane Salim. You have to look at each one of 00:47:42 11 00:47:46 12 the Defendants as individuals. With Sultane Salim the 00:47:50 13 Government still opposed release given the seriousness 00:47:52 14 of the charges. The seriousness of the charges is also a consideration here, which I'll get to, Judge. But it 00:47:54 15 is a different situation. The absence from this country 00:47:57 16 00:48:00 17 for four years, it's a paradigm shift. 18 THE COURT: Do you have any information --00:48:04 19 and I take it it is probably more difficult for the 00:48:05 00:48:09 20 Government to get information on someone who's outside 00:48:12 21 the country than if they're in the country. Have you any information pro or con about the Defendant's 00:48:15 22 00:48:20 23 activities or emails during that four-year period? 00:48:26 24 MR. GEORGALIS: Could I have a moment, 00:48:28 2.5 please, Judge?

1 THE COURT: Yes. 00:48:28 (Discussion had off the record.) 00:48:30 2 MR. GEORGALIS: Judge, the only conduct of 00:48:51 3 00:48:52 this kind as alleged in the indictment would be the 4 obstruction-related conduct that obviously occurred 00:48:55 00:48:58 after he had made the contribution to Al-Awlaki that's 7 alleged in the indictment. I believe there was an 00:49:03 interview involving the Defendant in 2012 in the UAE 00:49:05 8 and -- where we would argue on trial he made false 00:49:09 9 00:49:13 10 statements or was sort of obstructive in that regard. But that's all that we could share at this time. 00:49:17 11 00:49:21 12 THE COURT: Do you wish to comment? 00:49:23 13 may. We're going to move on and talk about another topic, but I'll give you a chance to respond. 00:49:25 14 MS. MORENO: Just briefly, as alleged in the 00:49:26 15 00:49:28 16 indictment, he met with investigators in 2012 in the 00:49:34 17 UAE, FBI agents, voluntarily; he was under no compulsion 18 to do that. He met with them voluntarily. And that is 00:49:43 what he said to them is the basis -- the allegation of 00:49:46 19 00:49:50 20 one of the charges. I. 00:49:52 21 Would also just briefly say, Your Honor, that it is just basically untrue that he has no roots to 00:49:53 22 23 this country. You met his mother, his brother, his 00:49:58 00:50:03 24 wife, his mother-in-law. He has significant pervasive 00:50:08 2.5 important family here in the country. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: So I'll give both sides a chance to argue then whether detention is appropriate, given 2 the nature of the crime charged under 18 U.S.C., Section 3 3142(g). I'll let the Government go first on that one 4 since there seems to be a general agreement that proposed conditions and the posting of property and the 6 7 custodians and the dollar amount are generally 8 acceptable. MR. GEORGALIS: That's correct, Judge. And thank you for the opportunity to argue.

As this Court has stated, Mr. Salim is subject to the presumption of detention. Unlike most Defendants that come through this courthouse, the default position is they should be released pending trial. That is not the case here. Given the nature of the crime here, that it is a terrorist charge, that a grand jury has returned an indictment against him finding probable cause that he committed these crimes, and given that the maximum sentence is about ten years, there is a presumption that he be detained. That is something that Congress felt that there are certain Defendants that, based upon the conduct and the charge, they should not be released. And Mr. Salim is one of those individuals. And I —

THE COURT: Is it your position in every

00:50:14 00:50:16 00:50:25 00:50:29 00:50:35 00:50:40 00:50:47 00:50:51 00:50:53 00:50:55 10 00:50:57 11 00:51:00 12 00:51:03 13 00:51:06 14 00:51:08 15 00:51:12 16 00:51:15 17 18 00:51:18 19 00:51:20 00:51:23 20 00:51:26 21 22 00:51:29 23 00:51:32 00:51:35 24

00:51:37

2.5

1 case involving an alleged terrorist there ought to be
100:51:42 2 detention, or not in every case, but under the facts of
100:51:46 3 this case? Yes.

00:51:47

00:51:48

00:51:53

00:51:56

00:51:59

00:52:02

00:52:06

00:52:11

00:52:14

00:52:16

00:52:18

00:52:21

00:52:25

00:52:28

00:52:31

00:52:34

00:52:39

00:52:41

00:52:44

00:52:48

00:52:54

00:52:59

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. GEORGALIS: Under the facts of this case there should be a presumption. Now, to Ms. Moreno's point, that presumption can be overcome, as the law requires. But the Government believes that Ms. Moreno has failed to overcome that presumption. Simply stating that a living arrangement in this country in another state several states away, that that is simply is not enough to overcome the presumption. As we discussed at length before, the Defendant has lived outside this country for four years with his entire family. He had gainful employment there he earned there. He has the ability, the knowledge, and the skill set to lead a gainful life outside of this country.

THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt you. I don't want to lose my thought. And you have talked about the fact that he's not in Ohio; he's Georgia. But is there something wrong with the Probation/Pretrial Services in Georgia? Can they not supervise him as effectively as Toledo? Although our people are great, I know. In all seriousness, so what? Georgia, Ohio; if the conditions are good for Georgia, good for Ohio, what's the problem there?

1 MR. GEORGALIS: I quess the main problem 00:53:00 there, Judge, is I don't know exactly what Georgia's 00:53:02 2 Pretrial Services are like. I assume that they are just 00:53:05 3 00:53:08 as good as they are up here. The main problem is just 4 getting here. They're living several hundred miles 00:53:12 00:53:16 away. It would require a day of travel on the road with 7 an individual -- James Smith, who testified here today, 00:53:21 that it -- he seems to be unsure whether he'd be able to 00:53:24 8 even do it. It depends on his leave, I suppose. There 00:53:29 9 00:53:31 10 are tremendous logistical obstacles to allowing a Defendant that was brought to this country specifically 00:53:35 11 00:53:37 12 to face terrorism charges in Toledo, and releasing them 00:53:41 13 to Georgia and allowing them to travel across the country multiple times a year back and forth just to 00:53:44 14 appear. It's a great distance away. I think it 00:53:47 15 00:53:51 16 provides a lot of strain on the system to allow him to be that far and to allow him to travel unsupervised 00:53:56 17 18 during that period. So it does provide, I think, 00:54:01 19 significant roadblocks and obstacles that a more 00:54:04 00:54:08 20 traditional sort of in-district custodianship would provide. 00:54:12 21 22 00:54:12 THE COURT: I interrupted you, and you were 00:54:14 23 talking also about his time outside the country. 00:54:17 24 MR. GEORGALIS: Right, Judge. So that, to 00:54:18 25 us, just goes to why we believe that Ms. Moreno's failed to overcome the presumption in this case. There has to
be more than just saying: Well, he could live in this
place in this state; therefore, the presumption is
overcome. It's an important presumption, and we think
it requires detention in this case.

But even if the Court finds that Ms. Moreno has overcome the presumption, and as we wrote in our briefs, it's not like that presumption just disappears, just goes away. It's always something that the Court should consider and go back to in deciding whether or not Mr. Salim is worthy of release. And so therefore it's always there; it should provide the backdrop to the decision the Court has to make here.

But I think the more important part of this part of the analysis is to really focus on his risk of flight and his danger to the community and the focus on the factors.

So the first is the nature and circumstances of the offense here, Judge. And obviously as this Court even said, these are very serious charges. The Defendant was charged in a four-count indictment with three charges, that being Conspiracy to Provide Material Support, Providing Material Support, and Conspiring to Obstruct Justice. Those are extremely serious crimes, perhaps the most serious in the entire federal criminal

1 00:54:23 00:54:26 00:54:29 00:54:31 00:54:34 00:54:36 6 7 00:54:38 00:54:42 8 00:54:45 9 10 00:54:48 00:54:51 11 00:54:54 12 00:54:58 13 00:55:00 14 00:55:03 15 00:55:06 16 00:55:09 17 18 00:55:10 19 00:55:12 00:55:15 20 00:55:18 21 00:55:21 22 23 00:55:25 00:55:29 24

00:55:31

2.5

1 code. 00:55:34 To the extent that -- when considering the 00:55:36 2 actual factors, the nature and circumstances of the 00:55:39 3 00:55:41 offense lists as one of those offenses that one might 4 consider as weighing in favor of detention is listed 00:55:46 00:55:49 6 terrorism itself. So it's even specifically delineated 7 in the statute that a terrorism charge is one that the 00:55:52 Court should seriously consider. 00:55:57 8 THE COURT: You wouldn't deny, counsel, that 00:55:58 9 00:56:03 10 terrorism is a serious charge, would you? MS. MORENO: Of course not. That's not my 00:56:05 11 00:56:06 12 argument, Judge. 00:56:07 13 THE COURT: Let him finish. I'll give you the floor too. I wanted to see where we could have 00:56:11 14 agreement. 00:56:12 15 00:56:13 16 MR. GEORGALIS: That's good. It's obviously a factor and something for the Court to consider. 00:56:14 17 18 going deeper than just the charges itself is the actual 00:56:17 19 conduct here is of serious concern. The indictment 00:56:21 00:56:23 20 alleges that Mr. Salim and his co-conspirators, 00:56:26 21 including his brother, conspired to provide and, in fact, did provide funds to Anwar Al-Awlaki for use in 00:56:26 22 00:56:30 23 conducting terrorist attacks. Judge, this is not a 00:56:34 24 sting case. This is not a case where the Government 00:56:37 2.5 provided the funds to Mr. Salim to provide to another

1 Government undercover, which those are very serious 00:56:41 cases in and of themselves. This is an entirely 00:56:44 2 00:56:47 3 different animal. This is funds belonging to Mr. Salim 00:56:51 going to an actual -- in 2010 designated real terrorist 4 whose purpose was to conduct attacks against the United 00:56:57 5 States and against the west. And in the months 00:57:00 6 7 following the contribution to Anwar Al-Awlaki did, in 00:57:02 fact, have an attack against the United States, which 00:57:06 8 I'll go in. 00:57:08 9 00:57:09 10 One of the arguments --THE COURT: Can I ask you to take a breath 00:57:10 11 00:57:12 12 and maybe a drink of water and slow down a moment. 00:57:17 13 Thank you. 00:57:17 14 MR. GEORGALIS: One of the arguments that Ms. Moreno makes in her brief is that of the \$29,000 that 00:57:20 15 00:57:25 16 was provided to Anwar Al-Awlaki, only \$2,000 of it came 00:57:30 17 from Mr. Salim, as to somehow signify that that amount 18 is insubstantial or insignificant. Now, the Government 00:57:36 19 disagrees, obviously. I mean, that money might not be a 00:57:39 lot of money to Ms. Moreno, but that really misses the 00:57:43 20 00:57:46 21 point. The question is, or the analysis should be:

it a lot of money to Mr. Salim, number one?

two, was it a lot of money to Anwar Al-Awlaki?

response to both questions, the answer is yes. If you

look through the PSR, one of the Pretrial Services

00:57:50

00:57:53

00:57:57

00:58:00

22

23

24

2.5

1 officers had written that at one point the Defendant 00:58:05 stated that his total assets or his only asset, I should 00:58:08 2 00:58:12 3 say, at the time of the interview was \$20,000 in a 00:58:16 checking account. I don't know exactly how that money 4 has fluctuated over time, whether it's gone up or gone 00:58:21 down. But if you think about \$2,000 out of, let's say, 00:58:23 7 \$20,000 that he stated he had, that's ten percent of his 00:58:27 entire net worth. This is a man who has four kids to 8 00:58:32 00:58:37 9 support, a man who has a wife, a man who's living 10 00:58:40 overseas. It shows the degree to which he was willing to support the cause and the amount of which he was 00:58:46 11 00:58:49 12 willing to contribute. And while Ms. Moreno might think 00:58:53 13 that's not a very large amount, with respect to Mr. 00:58:56 14 Salim it's an enormous amount. Think about cutting a check of ten percent of your net worth and just giving 00:59:00 15 it to somebody else; you don't know who that person is 00:59:03 16 in this context. So that's number one. 00:59:08 17 18 The other factor is: Was it a lot of money 00:59:10 19 to Anwar Al-Awlaki? As this Court has pointed out in 00:59:12 20 00:59:15 our brief, clearly it was. The AQAP, Al Qaeda in the 00:59:24 21 Arabian Peninsula, has its own publication. In November of 2010, as we wrote in our brief, they devoted some 00:59:29 22 23 time to a piece about failed terroristic attacks. 00:59:33

one in that particular piece related to printer

cartridges that were outfitted with bombs and how,

00:59:37

00:59:41

24

25

1 although that was a failed attempt to put those printer 00:59:46 cartridges on a Northwestern, I believe, plane, it only 00:59:50 2 cost them \$4,200 to undertake that attempted attack. 00:59:54 3 So 01:00:01 that just goes to show how far money can go to support a 4 person who's willing to conduct terroristic attacks 01:00:06 against the United States. 01:00:09 6 7 Also in the brief were other examples. 01:00:10 Ι 8 believe --01:00:12 01:00:13 9 THE COURT: I've read the brief, so you 01:00:14 10 don't need to redo the brief, for my purposes anyway. 01:00:19 I'd rather you hit the highlights and add to the brief 11 01:00:23 12 or add further comment that's not reflected in any of 01:00:27 13 the writings that we've reviewed or the documents. 01:00:30 14 MR. GEORGALIS: Okay. I think then just the percentage of Mr. Salim's net worth of that \$2,000 is 01:00:32 15 something I think the Court should consider that was not 01:00:36 16 in the brief. 01:00:38 17 01:00:39 18 And, Judge, the other thing to consider is 19 obviously the weight of the evidence in this case. 01:00:42 01:00:45 20 it's not an invitation to conduct a mini trial here. 01:00:49 21 It's really to look at what the indictment has to say 22 about what Mr. Salim had engaged in. In response to Ms. 01:00:52 01:00:56 23 Moreno's brief, she seems to suggest that Mr. Salim was 01:01:00 24 simply CC'ed on a lot of emails; and therefore, the 01:01:03 2.5 weight of the evidence and the case against him is

1 somehow weaker. The Government disagrees with that. Some of the most important emails came from Mr. Salim. 2 One of the ones that sticks out in my mind is in the 3 brief, relates to Mr. Salim's statement to his brother 4 upon cutting the check to: Get it done. That is not 6 something that one would say to authorize some sort of charitable contribution to a religious outfit or 7 8 charitable organization. The Government believes there's more to it than that, that it's an important statement, an important mission, that there is -- one can infer that there's some almost chest pounding there. There's almost like battle cry to a certain extent. Wе think that's an important statement, and it's an important statement that Mr. Salim made, and it's in the indictment. As far as the history and characteristics of Mr. Salim go, we've discussed a lot of these in the sense he has no employment in this district or in Georgia or in the country. He has no ties at all to

With respect to the custodians that we've seen that were brought here today, Judge, and my count might be wrong, but I believe three of the custodians

this district. He arguably has some that were proffered

here today in Georgia. He's lived outside the United

States for four years.

01:01:06 01:01:11 01:01:13 01:01:16 01:01:18 01:01:24 01:01:30 01:01:36 01:01:38 10 01:01:41 01:01:45 11 01:01:50 12 01:01:54 13 01:01:57 14 01:01:59 15 01:02:00 16 01:02:03 17 01:02:05 18 19 01:02:08 01:02:10 20 01:02:13 21 22 01:02:17

01:02:18

01:02:20

01:02:23

23

24

25

1 have no idea what this case is even about. They have no 01:02:26 idea that it's a terrorism charge and the seriousness of 01:02:29 2 01:02:32 3 the charge itself. The one custodian that does know 01:02:35 something about the case knows -- seemed to me to be 4 pretty limited in that it's simply a conspiracy case 01:02:39 01:02:42 with some terrorism charges and that it was serious. Τо 7 us these are not custodians that grasp the gravity of 01:02:46 the charge and the gravity of the situation and that 8 01:02:50 they might be unfit to be custodians. One of the 01:02:52 9 01:02:55 10 custodians, James Smith, never even met him until today. So, Judge, again the Government stresses 01:03:00 11 01:03:03 12 that the only reason the Defendant is here today is to 01:03:06 13 face terrorism charges in Toledo in the Northern District of Ohio; therefore, we think that release --01:03:10 14 that there are no conditions or set of conditions that 01:03:14 15 01:03:16 16 would insure his appearance or insure the safety of the 01:03:19 17 community. THE COURT: One final question, then I'll 01:03:20 18 19 turn the floor over to defense counsel. 01:03:22 01:03:23 20 Defense counsel has made the point a couple 01:03:28 21 times how cooperative the Defendant was, cooperated with the interview abroad, cooperated in coming back to this 01:03:30 22 23 country; suggesting, I think, that that cooperation 01:03:35 01:03:37 24 reflects good intentions on his part. Your comment? 01:03:41 2.5 MR. GEORGALIS: Well, Judge, I will say he

```
01:03:45
        1
            was interviewed in 2012. It's not like he just hopped
            on a plane and came back to the United States to deal
01:03:49
        2
            with the investigation. We required a grand jury to
01:03:51
        3
01:03:56
            indict him. It required his visa in UAE to be revoked.
        4
            It required the UAE to deport him to this country in
01:04:00
01:04:03
        6
            order for him to be here. It's not like he just
        7
            appeared in this country of his own free will to face
01:04:04
        8
            the charges alleged against him. I guess the
01:04:07
            cooperation is in the eye of the beholder, but I would
01:04:11
        9
01:04:14
       10
            not consider what he's done so far cooperative.
01:04:17
       11
                         One moment please, Judge.
01:04:33
       12
                         THE COURT: Sure.
                         (Discussion had off the record.)
01:04:33
       13
01:04:33
       14
                         MR. GEORGALIS: I think that's all we have,
01:04:36
            Judge.
       15
01:04:36
       16
                         MS. MORENO: I just prefer to stand, Judge.
01:04:38
       17
                         THE COURT: However you like to do it as
01:04:40
       18
            long as we can hear you, and as long as you don't talk
01:04:43
       19
            too fast.
01:04:44
       20
                         MS. MORENO: All right. I will be careful.
01:04:48
       21
                         You know, Congress could have made these
       22
            offenses with an irrebuttable presumption, Your Honor.
01:04:52
01:04:58
       23
            They could have done that. They did not do that.
01:05:02
       24
            decided that these cases and others would have a
01:05:07
       2.5
            presumption that could be rebutted given an adequate
```

01:05:11 1 showing. THE COURT: The Government doesn't dispute 01:05:12 2 that, do you? 01:05:13 3 01:05:14 MR. GEORGALIS: We do not, Judge. 4 MS. MORENO: Well, they kind of talk like 01:05:16 5 01:05:18 this is a non-bailable offense; this is a terrorism 6 offense. We know how serious it is, but it is not a 7 01:05:21 non-bailable offense. 8 01:05:25 And I was glad to hear that the 01:05:26 9 10 01:05:29 Government candidly told the Court that they also opposed the co-defendant, Sultane Salim's, release, who 01:05:36 11 lived in the country. It didn't matter, really, in the 01:05:41 12 01:05:45 13 Government's eyes if you live in the country, don't live in the country. They're going to oppose because it's a 01:05:48 14 01:05:50 terrorism case really. 15 01:05:52 16 And I say to this Court that that's just not enough. That if we have significant conditions -- we 01:05:54 17 18 have testimony from the family. James Smith's 01:05:59 19 testimony, I think, is really rather remarkable. 01:06:04 01:06:07 20 fact, it is important, and I think that he does not have 01:06:12 21 any emotional investments or allegiance to Asif Salim. 22 This is a former homicide detective, law enforcement quy 01:06:17 01:06:22 23 who has told this Court under oath that he would do 01:06:25 24 whatever it takes to bring Asif Salim to any proceedings 01:06:30 2.5 that he has. I think that's very compelling in this

01:06:33 1 case.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

01:06:35

01:06:38

01:06:43

01:06:48

01:06:51

01:06:58

01:07:01

01:07:06

01:07:09

01:07:14

01:07:19

01:07:22

01:07:26

01:07:30

01:07:34

01:07:38

01:07:40

01:07:43

01:07:46

01:07:49

01:07:52

01:07:56

01:08:00

01:08:03

I'm not going to go over the arguments in our brief, Judge. We've now given the Court paperwork that shows what he did in terms of his employment for the four years out of the 35 years that he's lived in the United States, four years he worked in the UAE.

S2,000 in my brief. I didn't argue that that was an insignificant amount. What I said was that their allegations were limited to this single check for \$2,000, that, by the way, was not made to Anwar Al-Awlaki, who at the time was not a designated terrorist -- let's not forget that either -- but was made apparently to a co-defendant, who the Government is going to say then got this money somehow to someone associated with Anwar Al-Awlaki. That's their case here.

So I don't want this to be a mini trial. I think that when the Court looks at the weight of the evidence and the seriousness of the offense, you've got to tie that back to: Are there any set of conditions that you can set that will protect the community, rightly so, and make sure that he makes his court appearances? And I think that we have submitted to this Court ample reason, ample grounds, testimony and

```
conditions that two Pretrial Services officers have
        1
01:08:08
            found would be valid for release. We have the Virginia
01:08:12
        2
            Pretrial Service office that recommended his release to
01:08:17
        3
01:08:20
            Georgia, given a panoply of conditions, and now we have
        4
            this one too. And I would submit it, Your Honor.
01:08:27
        5
01:08:30
                         THE COURT: Any final words from the
        6
        7
            Government?
01:08:32
                         MR. GEORGALIS: No, Judge. Thank you.
01:08:32
        8
                         THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you both.
01:08:34
        9
01:08:36
       10
            This Court is going to take this matter under
            advisement. It's my plan to issue an order one way or
01:08:38
       11
01:08:43
       12
            the other tomorrow, and I'm going to take time between
01:08:51
       13
            now and then to reflect. I appreciate your help today.
            I think I'd like counsel to check in with my deputy
01:08:56
       14
            clerk before you leave in case there's some paperwork
01:09:02
       15
01:09:06
       16
            that we may need from you. Other than that, we are
01:09:09
       17
            adjourned.
       18
                         (Concluded at 3:55 p.m.)
       19
       20
       21
       22
       23
       24
       2.5
```

CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Tracy L. McGurk 2/28/17 Tracy L. McGurk, RMR, CRR Date